Russell criticizes the use of word “idea” causes confusion and the notion of being “in the mind ” is ambiguous. Berkeley thinks an object is both a mental thing and a mind of God so if an idea is “in the mind” it is means this “idea” is in the mind.

However, a more common use of “idea” , from Russell’s perspective is “we think of an idea as essentially something in somebody’s mind” so it means the idea in mind is a mental object and the object itself is a physical thing. Here Russell gives some examples for instance the speak of bearing a person in mind is not means we put the person into our mind but is an idea of him in our mind.

I think Russell is right. Most of time many words have already its specific meaning which means whenever people mentioned there is an instinctive explanation appeared. In this case, “idea” is a very simple and common word that we are accustomed to understand it as the “thought of something” in our mind. Alternatively, Berkeley can use another word or simply create a new word.